



International Journal of Medical Sciences and Pharma Research

Open Access to Medical Science and Pharma Research

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the CC BY-NC 4.0 which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited



Open Access

Review Article

Scaling Down HIV: Community-Led Prevention in Developing Nations: A Review

Emmanuel Ifeanyi Obeagu *

Department of Biomedical and Laboratory Science, Africa University, Zimbabwe

Article Info:

Article History:

Received 11 September 2024

Reviewed 16 October 2024

Accepted 12 November 2024

Published 15 December 2024

Cite this article as:

Obeagu EI, Scaling Down HIV: Community-Led Prevention in Developing Nations: A Review, International Journal of Medical Sciences & Pharma Research, 2024; 10(4):56-61 DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22270/ijmspr.v10i4.124>

*Address for Correspondence:

Emmanuel Ifeanyi Obeagu, Department of Biomedical and Laboratory Science, Africa University, Zimbabwe

Abstract

Community-led HIV prevention programs have become vital in reducing HIV transmission rates in developing nations, where the disease continues to exert a significant public health burden. These initiatives leverage the insights, trust, and cultural understanding of local populations to engage vulnerable groups, increase awareness, and promote safe health behaviors. Unlike traditional health interventions, community-led approaches emphasize grassroots involvement, peer education, and context-specific strategies, which have proven effective in overcoming barriers such as stigma, low health literacy, and limited healthcare accessibility. This review explores the unique elements that make community-led prevention an impactful model in HIV control. Through case studies and recent research, we highlight the effectiveness of community-led programs in regions with high HIV prevalence. From peer-led education among at-risk youth in Kenya to harm reduction efforts in India's urban centers, these initiatives have consistently shown positive outcomes, including increased testing uptake, higher antiretroviral therapy adherence, and reduced risky behaviors. The adaptability of community-led models has allowed them to address diverse social challenges, providing culturally relevant messaging and support tailored to specific populations, such as sex workers, people who inject drugs, and adolescents. However, these programs face obstacles, including inconsistent funding, insufficient policy support, and challenges in data collection for monitoring impact.

Keywords: HIV Prevention, Community-Led Interventions, Developing Nations, Public Health, Behavioral Change

Introduction

HIV/AIDS remains one of the most persistent public health challenges, particularly in developing nations where it impacts millions and strains healthcare resources. Despite global progress in managing and reducing HIV infections, significant disparities remain, especially in regions with limited resources and infrastructure. Sub-Saharan Africa, for instance, accounts for nearly two-thirds of global HIV cases, with the epidemic disproportionately affecting young people and marginalized groups. While biomedical advances, such as antiretroviral therapy (ART), have transformed HIV into a manageable condition for those with access, prevention efforts continue to face social, cultural, and economic obstacles. Given these challenges, community-led HIV prevention has emerged as an essential strategy to support and sustain preventive efforts in resource-limited settings.¹⁻² Community-led HIV prevention refers to interventions designed and implemented by members of local communities, particularly those affected by the virus. These initiatives harness local knowledge and leadership to create interventions that are culturally relevant, trusted, and accessible to those most at risk. Community-led programs often involve peer education, behavioral change campaigns, accessible HIV testing and counseling, and outreach to key populations, such as young people, sex workers, and

people who inject drugs. The underlying principle of community-led prevention is that local communities, as those most directly affected, possess unique insights and solutions to reduce transmission rates effectively. This approach contrasts with top-down models, which may lack the flexibility to adapt to local contexts and address specific cultural or social factors influencing HIV risk.³⁻⁴

The potential of community-led HIV prevention lies in its ability to build trust, foster local ownership, and adapt messages to resonate with the community. Traditional prevention efforts may face challenges in establishing trust, particularly when delivered by external actors who may be unfamiliar with the nuances of community life. Community-led programs mitigate this issue by training local leaders, peer educators, and counselors to facilitate open dialogue and offer culturally sensitive support. For instance, peer-led initiatives allow individuals from within the community to share their experiences and educate their peers in ways that feel relevant and relatable. This approach has been shown to increase engagement and reduce stigma, which are essential for widespread uptake of preventive measures, including HIV testing, condom use, and ART adherence.⁵⁻⁶ Developing nations often face systemic barriers to HIV prevention, including limited healthcare infrastructure, financial constraints, and a lack of access

to education and resources. Community-led initiatives address these challenges by mobilizing local resources and creating low-cost interventions that can be sustained over time. Additionally, many developing nations have populations with complex social dynamics, such as religious or cultural taboos surrounding sex and sexuality, which can impact prevention efforts. Community-led programs are more adept at navigating these sensitive issues by incorporating culturally informed strategies and empowering individuals to make health decisions aligned with their beliefs and values. These interventions not only address HIV transmission but also provide a framework for educating communities on other health topics, thereby strengthening overall community resilience and well-being.⁷⁻⁸

The effectiveness of community-led HIV prevention programs has been widely documented, with studies indicating that these programs increase HIV testing rates, promote safer behaviors, and improve ART adherence among high-risk populations. For example, initiatives targeting adolescents and young adults in regions like East Africa have seen success in reducing new infections through peer-led education and outreach programs that focus on safe sexual practices and self-empowerment. Similarly, programs for sex workers and people who inject drugs in Southeast Asia have successfully provided harm reduction services, access to regular health check-ups, and support for ART initiation. These programs demonstrate that community-led prevention can achieve measurable outcomes, especially when adapted to meet the needs of specific high-risk groups within each community.⁹⁻¹⁰ However, community-led HIV prevention faces challenges, particularly in terms of securing consistent funding, integrating with national health policies, and ensuring data collection to monitor impact effectively. Many of these programs rely on financial support from international donors, whose priorities may shift over time, potentially affecting program sustainability. Moreover, without policy integration, community-led programs risk being viewed as supplementary rather than essential components of national HIV prevention strategies. Collecting and analyzing data on program outcomes is also essential for demonstrating efficacy and securing continued funding, yet community-led programs may lack the infrastructure needed for rigorous data collection and evaluation. Addressing these challenges will require collaborative efforts between local organizations, government agencies, and international stakeholders.¹¹⁻¹²

Community-Led HIV Prevention Approaches

Community-led HIV prevention approaches leverage the resources, knowledge, and social networks within communities to create sustainable and impactful interventions tailored to local needs. These approaches acknowledge that communities themselves have unique insights into the social, cultural, and behavioral factors that influence HIV transmission, enabling them to design more effective and contextually appropriate strategies. Key components of community-led HIV

prevention include peer education, outreach programs, harm reduction, healthcare access facilitation, and advocacy. Below are some of the primary approaches currently employed:

1. Peer Education and Support Networks

Peer education is a core component of community-led HIV prevention, relying on trained members of high-risk groups to educate, mentor, and support their peers. Peer educators are able to break down barriers that may exist between healthcare providers and at-risk populations, such as trust issues, social stigma, and cultural disconnects. Programs often target adolescents, sex workers, people who inject drugs, and LGBTQ+ individuals, providing information about safe sexual practices, HIV testing, and adherence to treatment. Peer support networks further empower individuals to make informed health decisions and reduce the stigma associated with HIV by normalizing discussions around it within the community.¹³⁻¹⁴

2. Outreach and Mobile HIV Testing Programs

In many developing nations, barriers like geographic isolation, lack of transportation, and stigma hinder access to HIV testing and healthcare. Community-led outreach programs address these challenges by bringing HIV prevention services directly to communities. Mobile clinics and outreach workers offer testing, counseling, and education in accessible, familiar locations, like schools, community centers, or workplaces. This approach reduces logistical challenges and creates a supportive environment where community members feel comfortable accessing services. By lowering these barriers, outreach programs increase testing rates, identify new cases earlier, and connect individuals with care.¹⁵

3. Harm Reduction for Vulnerable Populations

Community-led harm reduction programs provide safer practices for populations engaging in high-risk behaviors, such as drug use or sex work, to reduce HIV transmission. Common harm reduction strategies include providing clean needle exchange services, distributing condoms, and offering safe injection sites, all of which help prevent the spread of HIV among vulnerable groups. These programs also often incorporate education on reducing risky behaviors, thereby creating safer environments for those who may otherwise lack access to healthcare. Harm reduction is particularly effective when managed by community members who understand the unique needs of these populations and can provide non-judgmental, accessible support.¹⁶⁻¹⁷

4. Health Access and Linkage to Care

Community-led HIV prevention approaches often focus on connecting individuals to continuous care, including HIV treatment, counseling, and social support services. After testing, ensuring that individuals link to care quickly and consistently is crucial to maintaining low viral loads and reducing transmission. Community health workers play a vital role here, facilitating care navigation, providing reminders for appointments, and

offering emotional support to encourage adherence to ART. By building trust and addressing practical barriers, community-based health access initiatives help to bridge gaps between communities and healthcare systems, enhancing long-term outcomes.¹⁸

5. Advocacy and Stigma Reduction

HIV stigma continues to pose a major barrier to prevention, testing, and treatment, particularly in communities where there is limited awareness or where cultural beliefs contribute to misinformation about the disease. Community-led advocacy efforts focus on reducing stigma through education campaigns, community discussions, and collaboration with local leaders to promote acceptance and understanding. By engaging communities in open conversations about HIV, these programs help change public perceptions and encourage supportive environments for individuals living with or at risk of HIV. This aspect of community-led prevention is crucial, as it fosters an inclusive climate in which more individuals feel empowered to seek testing and treatment without fear of discrimination.¹⁹⁻²⁰

6. Youth Empowerment and Engagement Programs

In many regions, young people are disproportionately affected by HIV due to a combination of risk factors, including limited access to accurate sexual health information and social pressures. Community-led initiatives that target youth empowerment provide age-appropriate HIV education, promote safe sex practices, and encourage health-seeking behaviors. By creating youth-friendly spaces for discussion and engaging young people as leaders, these programs foster a proactive approach to HIV prevention. Youth engagement programs also address specific vulnerabilities, such as early sexual debut and peer pressure, while building a foundation for long-term health advocacy and awareness within younger generations. Community-led HIV prevention approaches are thus diverse and adaptable, addressing both the direct and indirect factors influencing HIV transmission. Their success lies in fostering local ownership, promoting trust, and creating interventions that resonate with community members' lived experiences. By prioritizing cultural relevance and local leadership, community-led approaches have the potential to significantly impact HIV prevention, especially in developing nations where traditional healthcare systems face limitations.²¹

Impact and Effectiveness of Community-Led HIV Prevention

The impact and effectiveness of community-led HIV prevention approaches have been widely recognized in both research and practice, particularly in resource-limited settings and among high-risk populations. These initiatives have shown to increase awareness, reduce stigma, improve testing rates, and enhance adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART) through culturally relevant, sustainable interventions. Community-led programs leverage the influence and trust of local leaders and peer educators, fostering greater

acceptance and engagement than top-down models often achieve. Below are key areas in which community-led HIV prevention efforts have made significant strides:

1. Increased HIV Testing and Diagnosis

Community-led initiatives have consistently improved HIV testing rates, particularly in hard-to-reach populations where testing may otherwise be low due to stigma, fear, or logistical challenges. Studies indicate that mobile testing services, peer-driven outreach, and local health advocates have dramatically boosted testing uptake, allowing for earlier diagnosis and intervention. For example, peer-led testing programs among young people in South Africa have reported a marked increase in testing rates, often reaching those reluctant to access traditional healthcare settings. Earlier diagnosis is crucial for preventing the onward transmission of HIV and is one of the most effective ways to reduce community-level HIV incidence.²¹

2. Enhanced ART Adherence and Health Outcomes

Adherence to ART is critical to managing HIV, as it reduces viral loads to undetectable levels, which significantly lowers the risk of transmission. Community-led programs have played a vital role in supporting ART adherence by creating networks of peer support, counseling, and education tailored to individuals' specific cultural and social contexts. By providing ongoing reminders, home visits, and emotional support, these initiatives help individuals manage the practical and emotional challenges of treatment adherence. As a result, ART adherence rates are generally higher within community-supported frameworks than in conventional healthcare systems, leading to improved long-term health outcomes.²²⁻²³

3. Reduced Stigma and Behavioral Change

Stigma reduction is a core benefit of community-led HIV prevention, as these programs address cultural beliefs and norms that often perpetuate discrimination and fear surrounding HIV. By engaging community leaders, religious figures, and peer educators, these initiatives help reshape public perceptions, encouraging open dialogue about HIV and reducing the stigma attached to testing and treatment. A notable example comes from Uganda, where community-based campaigns have significantly lowered stigma levels, making individuals more likely to seek testing and treatment without fear of judgment. Behavioral changes promoted by these programs also extend to safer sexual practices, increased condom use, and harm reduction behaviors, which are essential in reducing transmission rates.²⁴

4. Empowerment and Agency Among Vulnerable Populations

Community-led programs not only address HIV prevention but also empower vulnerable groups, such as women, youth, and people who inject drugs, by promoting self-efficacy and informed decision-making. Programs focused on women's health, for example, educate participants on negotiating safer sexual practices and understanding their sexual health rights. Empowerment in this context helps vulnerable

populations overcome social and economic barriers that put them at higher risk for HIV. Additionally, youth empowerment programs that engage young people as leaders and peer educators help foster a generation that is more aware, informed, and active in HIV prevention efforts.²⁵⁻²⁶

5. Sustainability and Local Ownership

One of the defining strengths of community-led HIV prevention efforts is their sustainability. These programs tend to be more resilient to external funding cuts because they are often integrated into the community's existing social structures. Local ownership of HIV prevention initiatives fosters long-term commitment, as community members feel invested in the program's success and are more likely to continue the work beyond the scope of initial funding. Programs that employ and train local leaders and healthcare workers also contribute to job creation, skills development, and a sense of agency among community members, all of which enhance the program's longevity and impact.²⁷⁻²⁸

6. Adaptability and Responsiveness to Community Needs

Community-led HIV prevention programs have the advantage of flexibility, allowing them to adapt quickly to changes in local needs, attitudes, and behaviors. In comparison to rigid, top-down interventions, community-led approaches can modify their strategies based on direct feedback, shifting resources to address emerging challenges or focus on new at-risk groups. For instance, during public health crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic, many community-led programs pivoted to provide remote education, distribute preventive supplies, and support continued ART adherence under lockdown conditions. This adaptability ensures that prevention efforts remain relevant and effective, even in the face of evolving public health landscapes.²⁹

Challenges to Scaling Community-Led HIV Prevention

While community-led HIV prevention has demonstrated substantial success, particularly in developing nations, scaling these initiatives presents significant challenges. The effectiveness of these programs relies on community engagement, cultural sensitivity, and sustainable support structures. However, barriers related to funding, training, infrastructure, and sociopolitical factors often limit their reach. Addressing these challenges is crucial to expanding the impact of community-led approaches and ensuring their resilience in the face of changing public health dynamics. Key challenges include:

1. Funding and Resource Limitations

Community-led HIV prevention programs are often heavily reliant on short-term grants or donations from international organizations, making it difficult to ensure long-term sustainability. Inconsistent funding streams can limit the ability of these programs to scale up, pay staff, or expand services. Without reliable financial support, community-led initiatives may struggle to

maintain consistent outreach and support, resulting in gaps in service delivery. Furthermore, funding is often directed toward short-term measurable outcomes rather than the long-term process of community empowerment and infrastructure building, which are essential for sustainable impact.³⁰

2. Limited Access to Training and Capacity-Building

Effective community-led programs require trained individuals who are not only knowledgeable about HIV prevention but also skilled in peer education, counseling, and cultural competency. However, in many regions, training resources are scarce, and existing training programs may lack the depth needed to equip community leaders fully. Limited access to continuous professional development further hampers the ability of community health workers to keep up with evolving HIV prevention strategies and best practices. Capacity-building is essential for scaling community-led efforts, but without adequate training and support, these programs may struggle to expand their impact while maintaining quality.³¹

3. Infrastructure and Logistical Barriers

Developing nations often face infrastructure challenges that affect the scalability of community-led HIV prevention programs. Remote or rural areas may lack access to basic healthcare facilities, reliable transportation, and technological infrastructure necessary for outreach. Limited infrastructure not only makes it challenging to conduct in-person outreach and mobile testing but also impacts the distribution of prevention tools like condoms and antiretroviral medication. Logistical issues are further compounded by weak healthcare systems that are often under-resourced and unable to support large-scale prevention efforts, particularly in areas where health services are already strained.³²

4. Cultural and Social Barriers

Cultural and social beliefs surrounding HIV and marginalized groups, such as LGBTQ+ individuals and sex workers, can limit community buy-in and hinder the effectiveness of prevention programs. In certain regions, HIV stigma remains pervasive, and traditional beliefs may discourage open conversations about sexual health, drug use, or HIV prevention methods. This stigma not only impacts individuals' willingness to seek testing and treatment but also affects the ability of community-led programs to gain local support, particularly from community leaders or government officials. Without societal acceptance and cultural sensitivity, these initiatives may encounter resistance that restricts their reach and effectiveness.³³

5. Political and Policy Challenges

Political instability, restrictive policies, and lack of governmental support can all serve as significant barriers to scaling community-led HIV prevention programs. In regions where public health policies do not prioritize HIV prevention, or where there is limited political will to support marginalized groups, community-led efforts may struggle to gain traction.

Additionally, some governments impose legal restrictions on activities such as needle exchanges or sex education, which are critical components of harm reduction. These policy barriers prevent community-led programs from fully addressing the needs of high-risk populations and may even force them to operate in secrecy, limiting their impact and scope.³⁰

6. Data Collection and Evaluation Challenges

Effective scaling requires data to monitor progress, evaluate effectiveness, and identify areas for improvement. However, many community-led programs lack the resources or expertise to conduct rigorous data collection and evaluation. This gap makes it difficult for these programs to demonstrate their impact and attract the funding or support necessary for scaling. Additionally, the informal nature of some community-led initiatives may complicate standardized reporting, resulting in challenges for both accountability and the ability to adapt based on evidence. Without strong data systems, it can be challenging to measure the success of these programs on a larger scale or advocate for their expansion to new regions.³¹⁻³³

Conclusion

Community-led HIV prevention approaches have proven to be transformative, particularly in developing nations where traditional healthcare systems often struggle to reach vulnerable populations. By leveraging local knowledge, trust, and cultural competence, these initiatives have successfully increased HIV testing, improved ART adherence, and reduced stigma—key factors in controlling the spread of HIV. Despite the notable successes, scaling these programs poses significant challenges, such as funding limitations, insufficient training, infrastructural barriers, and socio-political resistance. Overcoming these barriers will be essential to expanding the impact of community-led HIV prevention and ensuring these programs' resilience and sustainability. To achieve meaningful scale, a multi-faceted approach is necessary, combining consistent funding, capacity-building, infrastructure development, and supportive policy frameworks. Governmental and international support can help bridge funding and resource gaps, while increased investment in training and capacity-building will empower community health workers to expand and sustain their reach. Policies that encourage inclusive, evidence-based prevention methods, and partnerships with local stakeholders are crucial for navigating the cultural and political landscape that shapes HIV prevention in each community.

Conflict of Interest: Author declares no potential conflict of interest with respect to the contents, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Source of Support: Nil

Funding: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data supporting in this paper are available in the cited references.

Ethics approval: Not applicable.

References

- Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU. Neonatal Outcomes in Children Born to Mothers with Severe Malaria, HIV, and Transfusion History: A Review. *Elite Journal of Nursing and Health Science*, 2024; 2(3):38-58
- Obeagu EI, Ubosi NI, Obeagu GU, Obeagu AA. Nutritional Strategies for Enhancing Immune Resilience in HIV: A Review. *Int. J. Curr. Res. Chem. Pharm. Sci.* 2024;11(2):41-51. <https://doi.org/10.22270/ijmspr.v10i2.102>
- Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU. Understanding Immune Cell Trafficking in Tuberculosis-HIV Coinfection: The Role of L-selectin Pathways. *Elite Journal of Immunology*, 2024; 2(2):43-59
- Obeagu EI. Erythropoietin and the Immune System: Relevance in HIV Management. *Elite Journal of Health Science*, 2024; 2(3):23-35
- Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU, Obiezu J, Ezeonwumelu C, Ogunnaya FU, Ngwoke AO, Emeka-Obi OR, Ugwu OP. Hematologic Support in HIV Patients: Blood Transfusion Strategies and Immunological Considerations. *Applied Sciences (NIJBAS)*. 2023;3(3). <https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJBAS/2023/1.2.11000>
- Parker RG, Perez-Brumer A, Garcia J, Gavigan K, Ramirez A, Milnor J, Terto Jr V. Prevention literacy: community-based advocacy for access and ownership of the HIV prevention toolkit. *African Journal of Reproduction and Gynaecological Endoscopy*. 2016;19(1). <https://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.19.1.21092> PMID:27702430 PMCID:PMC5045969
- McNeish R, Rigg KK, Tran Q, Hodges S. Community-based behavioral health interventions: Developing strong community partnerships. *Evaluation and Program Planning*. 2019; 73:111-115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalproplan.2018.12.005> PMID:30580000
- Dave P, The Correlation Between Stigma and Mental Health Disorders in People Living with HIV/AIDS, *Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics*, 2024;14(3):227-233 <https://doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v14i3.6490>
- Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU. Immune Modulation in HIV-Positive Neonates: Insights and Implications for Clinical Management. *Elite Journal of Nursing and Health Science*, 2024; 2(3): 59-72
- Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU. Understanding ART and Platelet Functionality: Implications for HIV Patients. *Elite Journal of HIV*, 2024; 2(2): 60-73
- Navarra AM, Rosenberg MG, Gormley M, Bakken S, Fletcher J, Whittemore R, Gwadz M, Cleland C, Melkus GD. Feasibility and acceptability of the adherence connection counseling, education, and support (ACCESS) proof of concept: a peer-led, mobile health (mHealth) cognitive behavioral antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence intervention for HIV-Infected (HIV+) adolescents and young adults (AYA). *AIDS and Behavior*. 2023; 27(6):1807-23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-022-03913-0> PMID:36574184 PMCID:PMC9792943
- Frew PM, Archibald M, Schamel J, Saint-Victor D, Fox E, Smith-Bankhead N, Diallo DD, Holstad MM, Del Rio C. An integrated service delivery model to identify persons living with HIV and to provide linkage to HIV treatment and care in prioritized neighborhoods: a geotargeted, program outcome study. *JMIR public health and surveillance*. 2015; 1(2):e4675. <https://doi.org/10.2196/publichealth.4675> PMID:27227134 PMCID:PMC4869208
- Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU. Optimizing Blood Transfusion Protocols for Breast Cancer Patients Living with HIV: A Comprehensive Review. *Elite Journal of Nursing and Health Science*, 2024; 2(2):1-17
- Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU. Hematologic Considerations in Breast Cancer Patients with HIV: Insights into Blood Transfusion Strategies. *Elite Journal of Health Science*, 2024; 2(2): 20-35

15. Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU. Advancements in HIV Prevention: Africa's Trailblazing Initiatives and Breakthroughs. *Elite Journal of Public Health*, 2024; 2 (1): 52-63
16. Bond V, Chase E, Aggleton P. Stigma, HIV/AIDS and prevention of mother-to-child transmission in Zambia. *Evaluation and program planning*. 2002; 25(4):347-356. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7189\(02\)00046-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7189(02)00046-0)
17. Zukoski AP, Thorburn S. Experiences of stigma and discrimination among adults living with HIV in a low HIV-prevalence context: a qualitative analysis. *AIDS patient care and STDs*. 2009;23(4):267-276. <https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2008.0168> PMID:19260770
18. Shafique S, Bhattacharyya DS, Nowrin I, Sultana F, Islam MR, Dutta GK, Del Barrio MO, Reidpath DD. Effective community-based interventions to prevent and control infectious diseases in urban informal settlements in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Systematic Reviews*. 2024;13(1):253. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-024-02651-9> PMID:39367477 PMCid:PMC11451040
19. Pandya S, Kan L, Parr E, Twose C, Labrique AB, Agarwal S. How Can Community Data Be Leveraged to Advance Primary Health Care? A Scoping Review of Community-Based Health Information Systems. *Global Health: Science and Practice*. 2024; 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-23-00429> PMID:38626945 PMCid:PMC11057800
20. Navarra AM, Rosenberg MG, Gormley M, Bakken S, Fletcher J, Whittlemore R, Gwadz M, Cleland C, Melkus GD. Feasibility and acceptability of the adherence connection counseling, education, and support (ACCESS) proof of concept: a peer-led, mobile health (mHealth) cognitive behavioral antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence intervention for HIV-Infected (HIV+) adolescents and young adults (AYA). *AIDS and Behavior*. 2023;27(6):1807-1823. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-022-03913-0> PMID:36574184 PMCid:PMC9792943
21. Billings DW, Leaf SL, Spencer J, Crenshaw T, Brockington S, Dalal RS. A randomized trial to evaluate the efficacy of a web-based HIV behavioral intervention for high-risk African American women. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2015; 19:1263-1274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-015-0999-9> PMID:25616838 PMCid:PMC4506203
22. Kessy F, Charle P. Evidence of the Impact of IMF Fiscal and Monetary Policies on the Capacity to Address HIV/AIDS and TB Crises in Tanzania. CEGAA/RESULTS Educational Fund, June (Cape Town: Centre for Economic Governance and AIDS in Africa). 2009.
23. Akhtar MH, Ramkumar J. Primary Health Center: Can it be made mobile for efficient healthcare services for hard to reach population? A state-of-the-art review. *Discover Health Systems*. 2023;2(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44250-023-00017-x> PMID:37520517 PMCid:PMC9870199
24. Lynn VA, Webb FJ, Joerg C, Nembhard K. Behavioral Health Disorders and HIV Incidence and Treatment Among Women. *In Women's Behavioral Health: A Public Health Perspective 2024*: 129-150. Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-58293-6_6
25. Lassi ZS, Salam RA, Das JK, Bhutta ZA. The conceptual framework and assessment methodology for the systematic reviews of community-based interventions for the prevention and control of infectious diseases of poverty. *Infectious diseases of poverty*. 2014; 3:1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-9957-3-22> PMID:25105014 PMCid:PMC4124965
26. Belus JM, Msimango LI, van Heerden A, Magidson JF, Bradley VD, Mdakane Y, van Rooyen H, Barnabas RV. Barriers, Facilitators, and Strategies to Improve Participation of a Couple-Based Intervention to Address Women's Antiretroviral Therapy Adherence in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 2024; 31(1):75-84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12529-023-10160-7> PMID:36854871 PMCid:PMC10803380
27. Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU. Unmasking the Truth: Addressing Stigma in the Fight Against HIV. *Elite Journal of Public Health*. 2024;2(1):8-22.
28. Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU, Odo EO, Igwe MC, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Okwaja PR. Combatting Stigma: Essential Steps in Halting HIV Spread.
29. Obeagu EI. Breaking Barriers: Mitigating Stigma to Control HIV Transmission. *Elite Journal of Public Health*. 2024;2(8):44-55.
30. Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU. Preventive measures against HIV among Uganda's youth: Strategies, implementation, and effectiveness. *Medicine*. 2024; 103(44):e40317. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000040317> PMID:39496029 PMCid:PMC11537624
31. Shafique S, Bhattacharyya DS, Nowrin I, Sultana F, Islam MR, Dutta GK, Del Barrio MO, Reidpath DD. Effective community-based interventions to prevent and control infectious diseases in urban informal settlements in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Systematic Reviews*. 2024; 13(1):253. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-024-02651-9> PMID:39367477 PMCid:PMC11451040
32. Muessig KE, Nekkanti M, Bauermeister J, Bull S, Hightow-Weidman LB. A systematic review of recent smartphone, Internet and Web 2.0 interventions to address the HIV continuum of care. *Current HIV/aids Reports*. 2015; 12:173-190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11904-014-0239-3> PMID:25626718 PMCid:PMC4370788
33. Perry H, Zulliger R, Scott K, Javadi D, Gergen J. Case studies of large-scale community health worker programs: examples from Bangladesh, Brazil, Ethiopia, India, Iran, Nepal, and Pakistan. Afghanistan: Community-Based Health Care to the Ministry of Public Health. 2013.